

Project Round Grading Rubrics (Video Segment)

Criterion	Weightage	Approaching Expectations 0 – 3	Meeting Expectations 4 – 7	Exceeding Expectations 8 – 10
Accuracy and Depth of content	40 %	Content of video is inaccurate with grave conceptual errors; content fails to go beyond the superficial or is plagiarised from source materials. Narrow scope with limited variety of concepts and ideas.	Content of video is somewhat accurate with few factual errors; Analysis of topic is limited or paraphrased from source materials, with a fair variety of concepts and ideas.	Content of video is largely accurate with negligible factual errors; Analysis of content boasts originality with an excellent presentation portraying a large variety of concepts and ideas.
Clarity and Engagement	30%	Participants speak haltingly or mumble and are difficult to understand; does not engage viewers.	Participants speak clearly and intelligibly most of the time; engages viewers to a certain degree.	Participants speak clearly and fluently throughout at a suitable pace; deeply engages viewers.
Creativity and Originality	20 %	Method of video presentation is overused or cliché.	Method of video presentation is refreshing but uninspiring.	Method of video presentation is novel and innovative.
Teamwork	10 %	Few members are actively involved in the video presentation. There is a huge disproportion in the allocation of speech amongst all members	Only some members are actively involved in the video presentation. There is a certain degree of disproportion in speech allocation amongst members.	All members are actively involved in the video presentation. There is fair allocation of speech amongst all members.

Project Round Grading Rubrics (Oral Presentation)

Criterion	Weightage	Approaching Expectations 0 – 3	Meeting Expectations 4 – 7	Exceeding Expectations 8 – 10
Accuracy and Depth of content (incl Question and Answers)	40% (≤ 10%)	Content of presentation is inaccurate with grave conceptual errors; content fails to go beyond the superficial or is plagiarised from source materials. Narrow scope with limited variety of concepts and ideas. Answers are limited and factually erroneous with grave conceptual errors.	Content of presentation is somewhat accurate with few factual errors; Analysis of topic is limited or paraphrased from source materials, with a fair variety of concepts and ideas. Answers are relevant but may contain some factual errors.	Content of presentation is largely accurate with negligible factual errors; Analysis of content boasts originality with an excellent presentation portraying a large variety of concepts and ideas. Answers are relevant, factually correct and well elaborated upon.
Clarity and Engagement	30 %	Participants speak haltingly or mumble and are difficult to understand; demonstrate little engagement with audience.	Participants speak clearly and intelligibly most of the time; demonstrate a certain degree of engagement with audience.	Participants speak clearly and fluently throughout at a suitable pace; consistently demonstrate personal engagement with audience.
Creativity and Originality	20 %	Method of presentation is overused or cliché.	Method of presentation is refreshing but uninspiring.	Method of presentation is novel and innovative.
Teamwork	10 %	Few members are actively involved in the video presentation. There is a huge disproportion in the allocation of speech amongst all members	Only some members are actively involved in the presentation. There is a certain degree of disproportion in speech allocation amongst members.	All members are actively involved in the video presentation. There is fair allocation of speech amongst all members.